## CPA-ZICKLIN INDEX SCORING GUIDELINES

	N/A	Yes	Partial	No
1	The company has a clear policy prohibiting corporate contributions to all candidates, parties, and committees.	The company provides itemized disclosure (i.e., names of recipients and amounts given to each).	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of recipients but not the amount each received)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its political spending.
2	The company has a clear policy prohibiting corporate contributions to all groups organized under § 527 of the Internal Revenue Code.	The company provides itemized disclosure (i.e., names of recipients and amounts given to each).	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of recipi- ents but not the amount each received)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its political spending.
3	The company has a clear policy prohibiting independent expenditures using corporate funds.	The company discloses any direct independent expenditures made to support or oppose a candidate or ballot measure, identifying the candidate or measure being supported or opposed.	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of benefi- ciaries but not the amount each received)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its political spending.
4	The company has a clear policy that it prohibits trade associations of which it is a member from using its payments for election-related purposes.	The company provides itemized disclosure of all nondeductible payments, including special as- sessments (i.e., names of trade associations and amounts given to each).	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of associ- ations but not the amount of payments)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its nondeductible spending.
5	The company has a clear policy that it prohibits tax-exempt groups to which it contributes from using its payments for election-related purposes, or clearly prohibits such contributions entirely.	The company provides itemized disclosure of all payments (i.e., names of politically active tax-exempt groups and amounts given to each).	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of recipi- ents but not the amount each received)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its political spending.
6	The company has a clear policy that it does not contribute to trade as- sociations or tax-exempt groups, or the company restricts its payments to third party groups to non-elec- tion related purposes.	The company provides itemized disclosure of candidates or organizations that received money from third party organizations to which it has contributed.	The company discloses some, but not all, contributions made by third parties to whom it has given corporate money	No such disclosure is made.
7	The company has a clear policy prohibiting corporate contributions to ballot initiatives.	The company provides itemized disclosure (i.e., names of initiatives and amounts given to each).	The company partially discloses (e.g., provides a list of initiatives supported but not the amount each received)	No disclosure is provided, or the company provides a single, aggregate amount of its political spending.
8	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds and restricts its payments to third party groups to non-election related purposes.	The company discloses the positions and titles of senior managers with final authority over political spending decisions.	The company only discloses a department or unit with such responsibility, or the disclosure is otherwise ambiguous.	No such disclosure is made.
9	The current report is the company's first disclosure report, or the company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds and restricts its payments to third party groups to non-election related purposes.	The company website includes links to all political spend- ing disclosure reports issued since voluntary disclosure was adopted, or for at least the past five years.	The company maintains a partial archive of its political spending reports (i.e., fewer than five and fewer than it has issued).	The company does not maintain historical political spending dis- closure reports on its website.
10	(A company cannot receive "N/A" for this indicator.)	The company publicly discloses a detailed policy that includes information about the kinds of corporate election-related spending permitted as well as information about managerial and board oversight of spending decisions.	The company discloses a brief policy, perhaps only in its code of conduct or code of ethics.	No policy regarding corporate political spending can be found on the website.
11	(A company cannot receive "N/A" for this indicator.)	The company's policy permits PAC contributions but prohibits the use of corporate funds for direct political expenditures (indirect spending through third parties is not considered for this indicator).	(A company cannot receive "Partial" for this indicator.)	The company may use corporate funds for political spending.
12	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company's policy includes the two statements from Indicator 12 or language very similar.	The policy includes language vaguely relevant to the spirit of this language, or covers one part but not the other.	No such statement is made.

	N/A	Yes	Partial	No
13	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The policy describes the types of recipients that may receive the company's money (see indicators 1-5 and 7).	The policy includes vague language somewhat relevant to the spirit of this indicator, or offers a short or incomplete list of permissible recipients of the company's political spending.	No such statement is made.
14	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company's policy describes specific issues that form the basis for the company's political spending decisions (e.g., for a pharma company, "barriers to access, counterfeits, and challenges to intellectual property protection")	The policy includes vague language somewhat relevant to the spirit of this indicator (e.g., "candidates whose positions are consistent with the best inter- ests of the company; elections in areas where we do business")	No such statement is made.
15	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company's policy requires senior managers to approve or make final decisions on political spending.	The policy includes language somewhat relevant to the spirit of this indicator.	No such statement is made.
16	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company's policy indicates that the board of directors reg- ularly reviews or oversees the company's political spending.	The policy suggests that there is board involvement, but the nature and extent of such involvement are unclear or ambiguous.	There is no indication that the board oversees company political spending.
17	(A company cannot receive "N/A" for this indicator.)	The company identifies a specific board committee that reviews the company's political spending policy.	The policy suggests that there is board committee involvement, but whether the committee reviews the company's policy is unclear or ambiguous.	There is no indication that a specified board commit- tee reviews the company's policy.
18	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company identifies a specific board committee that reviews direct political expenditures made from corporate funds.	The policy suggests that there is board committee involvement, but whether the committee reviews the company's direct political expenditures is unclear or ambiguous.	There is no indication that a specified board committee reviews corporate political expenditures.
19	The company has a clear policy that it does not contribute to trade associations or tax-exempt groups, or the company restricts its payments to third party groups to non-election related purposes.	The company identifies a specific board committee that reviews indirect political expenditures made from corporate funds.	The policy suggests that there is board committee involvement, but whether the committee reviews the company's indirect political expenditures is unclear or ambiguous.	There is no indication that a specified board committee reviews corporate political expenditures.
20	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company identifies a specific board committee that approves direct and indirect political expenditures made from corporate funds. (Typically, this entails approval of a budget or spending plan.)	The policy suggests that there is board committee involvement, but whether the committee approves the company's political expenditures is unclear or am- biguous.	There is no indication that a specified board committee approves corporate political expenditures.
21	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The board committee identified by the company is composed entirely of independent direc- tors.	(A company cannot receive "Partial" for this indicator.)	The independence of the committee members cannot be determined, or there is no indication that a board committee oversees corporate political expenditures.
22	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds and restricts its payments to third party groups to non-election related purposes.	The company's disclosure reports are issued semi-annually.	The reports are issued annually.	The company does not issue detailed disclosure reports.
23	The company has a clear policy prohibiting election-related expenditures from corporate funds.	The company has a webpage dedicated to its political spending policy and/or disclosure reports that can be easily found through an internet search (i.e., company name and "political contributions" or "political expenditures") or can be navigated to within 3 clicks from the company's home page.	The company has a dedicated political spending webpage, but it is somewhat difficult to find.	The company's political spending policy and/or disclosures cannot be found through a basic search, or extensive navigation through the website is required.
24	(A company cannot receive "N/A" for this indicator.)	The company includes a state- ment that it conducts compli- ance measures to ensure adher- ence to the political spending policy, or company disclosure reports include a statement confirming that all contribu- tions were made in compliance with company policy.	A statement on compliance is included, but it is ambiguous (e.g., it's unclear whether the compliance measures apply to the political spending policy or general legal and ethical require- ments).	No explicit statement is made concerning compli- ance with the company's own political spending policy.